

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,548	02/23/2000	Yoshifumi Maitani	FUJIP0108US	6152
75	90 01/02/2003		•	
Neil A. DUChez		EXAMINER		
Renner Otto Boisselle & Sklar P L L			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
1621 Euclid Av 19th Floor	enue			
Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
		DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		٢ - ٢٠				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/511,548	MAITANI ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Houshang Safaipour	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is FINAL.</li> </ul>	—_ · nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		proceedation as to the morite in				
closed in accordance with the practice under  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2000</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected t	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	p 33 12					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informa	nry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/511,548

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Kanbe Yukihiko (JP 6197220) and further in view Kumashiro (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,408).

Regarding claim 1, Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a first reference board longer than the length of the said document with respect to the main scanning direction outside the document area (fig. 1, page 1, [0002]). The reading device of Kanbe Yukihiko is not equipped with an Automatic Document Feed (ADF) feature as disclosed by the applicant. However, Kumashiro discloses a reading device that is equipped with both modes of book and sheet scanning (abstract). Therefore, as illustrated by fig. 13, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the image reader disclosed by Kanbe Yukihiko with that of Kumashiro. The addition of ADF to the device of the Kanbe Yukihiko would enhance the design and would widen the usage of the equipment.

Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a second standard white board in an external region of an end portion of the document (the arguments stated above are also applicable to this claim limitation).

Kanbe Yukihiko discloses a control section that controls the readout section in such a way that the readout section reads the first standard white board prior to the initiation, of the

Application/Control Number: 09/511,548

Art Unit: 2622

document read to thereby perform the shading correction, and after the document read has been initiated, said readout section reads the reflected light of the second standard white board, so as to correct the quantity of irradiation light of said readout section, based on the reflected light from said second standard white board (page 1, paragraphs [002] through [0014]. The reading device of Kanbe Yukihiko is not equipped with an Automatic Document Feed (ADF) feature as disclosed by the applicant. However, Kumashiro discloses a reading device that is equipped with both modes of book and sheet scanning (abstract). Therefore, as illustrated by fig. 13, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the image reader disclosed by Kanbe Yukihiko with that of Kumashiro. The addition of ADF to the device of the Kanbe Yukihiko would enhance the design and would widen the usage of the equipment.

Page 3

Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a second standard white board in an external region of an end portion of the document (the arguments stated above are also applicable to this claim limitation).

Regarding claim 2, Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a first reference board longer than the length of the said document with respect to the main scanning direction outside the document area (fig. 1, page 1, [0002]). The reading device of Kanbe Yukihiko is not equipped with an Automatic Document Feed (ADF) feature as disclosed by the applicant. However, Kumashiro discloses a reading device that is equipped with both modes of book and sheet scanning (abstract). Therefore, as illustrated by fig. 13, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the image reader disclosed by Kanbe Yukihiko with that of Kumashiro. The addition of ADF to the device

of the Kanbe Yukihiko would enhance the design and would widen the usage of the equipment.

Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a second standard white board in an external region of an end portion of the document (the arguments stated above are also applicable to this claim limitation).

Kanbe Yukihiko discloses a control section that controls the readout section in such a way that the readout section reads the first standard white board prior to the initiation, of the document read to thereby perform the shading correction, and after the document read has been initiated, said readout section reads the reflected light of the second standard white board, so as to correct the quantity of irradiation light of said readout section, based on the reflected light from said second standard white board (page 1, paragraphs [002] through [0014]. The reading device of Kanbe Yukihiko is not equipped with an Automatic Document Feed (ADF) feature as disclosed by the applicant. However, Kumashiro discloses a reading device that is equipped with both modes of book and sheet scanning (abstract). Therefore, as illustrated by fig. 13, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the image reader disclosed by Kanbe Yukihiko with that of Kumashiro. The addition of ADF to the device of the Kanbe Yukihiko would enhance the design and would widen the usage of the equipment.

Regarding claim 3, Kanbe Yukihiko discloses an image reader having a second standard white board in an external region of an end portion of the document (the arguments stated above are also applicable to this claim limitation).

Regarding claim 4, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 2 are applicable to claim 4.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 5, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to

claim 5.

Regarding claim 6, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to

claim 6.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (703)306-4037.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward L Coles, Sr. can be reached on (703)305-4712. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

Houshang Safaipour Art Unit 2622

December 30, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5